Coherence in semiconductor nanostructures Part VIII: Exciton-cavity system in the quantum strong coupling regime

Jacek Kasprzak



Equipe mixte CEA-CNRS "Nanophysique et semicondcuteurs" Institut Néel - CNRS Grenoble France

Warsaw University, October-December 2020



 $\mu - pillar$

Open Cavity











Motivation quantum computer based on single photons



Strong coupling regime

Reversible temporal exchange of excitation between light & matter



Strong coupling regime

Reversible temporal exchange of excitation between light & matter



Strong coupling regime

Reversible temporal exchange of excitation between light & matter



Strong coupling regime

Reversible temporal exchange of excitation between light & matter



Strong coupling regime

Reversible temporal exchange of excitation between light & matter



Strong coupling regime Reversible temporal exchange of excitation between light & matter



JC)

Strong coupling regime Reversible temporal exchange of excitation between light & matter





Atomic clouds

VOLUME 51, NUMBER 13

JC)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

26 September 1983

Observation of Self-Induced Rabi Oscillations in Two-Level Atoms Excited Inside a Resonant Cavity: The Ringing Regime of Superradiance

Y. Kaluzny, P. Goy, M. Gross, J. M. Raimond, and S. Haroche



Exploring coherence in solids

5



 $\mu - pillar$

Exciton clouds

APPLIED PHYSICS LETTERS

VOLUME 73, NUMBER 21

23 NOVEMBER 1998

Direct time-domain observation of transition from strong to weak coupling in a semiconductor microcavity ...via Heterodyne Pump-Probe



Polaritons: Reversible exchange \Leftrightarrow Spectral splitting

Exploring coherence in solids

6

Open Cavity



Why bother?



Bosonic degeneracy at hand



Why bother?



Bosonic degeneracy at hand

JC)

Open Cavity

Coherent quantum light-matter interface



JC)

Coherent quantum light-matter interface



Strong coupling $(C \gg 1)$ in the solid state?



Jaynes-Cummings model

Description of fermion-boson interaction on a quantum level

 Coupling of a two-level system (QD) to a harmonic oscillator (fundamental cavity mode)

$$H_{JC} = \omega_c a^{\dagger} a + \omega_X X^{\dagger} X + g(a X^{\dagger} + a^{\dagger} X)$$

- ω_c : cavity mode frequency, ω_X : exciton transition energy, g: JC coupling, $\delta = \omega_c \omega_X$: detuning, $a^{(\dagger)}$: bosonic photon ladder operators, $X^{(\dagger)}$: fermionic exciton ladder operators
- ► Block diagonal with blocks (in $|G, n\rangle$, $|X, n 1\rangle$ subspace) $H_{JC}^{(n)} = \begin{bmatrix} n\omega_c & g\sqrt{n} \\ g\sqrt{n} & n\omega_c - \delta \end{bmatrix}$
- Equivalent to a QD driven by a cw-laser with Rabi frequency $2g\sqrt{n}$ and carrier frequency ω_c

Jaynes-Cummings model

Description of fermion-boson interaction on a quantum level

OD Eigenstates: exciton-polaritons • Ground state: $|G, o\rangle = |G\rangle \otimes |o\rangle$ cavity with $E_0 = 0$ • Excited states (at $\delta = 0, n \ge 1$): $|n,\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{|G,n\rangle \pm |X,n-1\rangle\}$ Eigenenergies of the excited states ($\delta = 0$): $E_n^{\pm} = n\omega_c \pm q\sqrt{n}$ Spectrum: JC-ladder, n: rung number

Jaynes-Cummings model

Description of fermion-boson interaction on a quantum level

Eigenstates: exciton-polaritons

JC

- Ground state: $|G, o\rangle = |G\rangle \otimes |o\rangle$ with $E_o = o$
- Excited states (at $\delta = 0, n \ge 1$): $|n, \pm \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{ |G, n \rangle \pm |X, n - 1 \rangle \}$
- Eigenenergies of the excited states ($\delta = o$): $E_n^{\pm} = n\omega_c \pm g\sqrt{n}$
- Spectrum: JC-ladder, *n*: rung number



 $\mu - pillar$

JC)

Open Cavity

Quantum Strong Coupling

1 photon + cloud of transitions \neq 1 photon + 1 transition



Jaynes-Cummings (JC) nonlinearity: $M_{n+} - M_{n-} \propto \sqrt{n}\Omega$



 $\mu - pillar$

Open Cavity

Quantum Strong Coupling

1 photon + cloud of transitions \neq 1 photon + 1 transition



Jaynes-Cummings (JC) nonlinearity: $M_{n+}-M_{n-}\propto \sqrt{n}\Omega$



 μ – pillar

Single atom

Open Cavity

VOLUME 76, NUMBER 11

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

11 MARCH 1996

Quantum Rabi Oscillation: A Direct Test of Field Quantization in a Cavity

M. Brune, F. Schmidt-Kaler, A. Maali, J. Dreyer, E. Hagley, J. M. Raimond, and S. Haroche Laboratoire Kastler Brossel,* Département de Physique de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, 24 rue Lhomond, F-75231 Paris Cedex 05, France (Received 9 November 1995)

We have observed the Rabi oscillation of circular Rydberg atoms in the vacuum and in small coherent fields stored in a high Q cavity. The signal exhibits discrete Fourier components at frequencies proportional to the square root of successive integers. This provides direct evidence of field quantization in the cavity. The weights of the Fourier components yield the photon number distribution in the field. This investigation of the excited levels of the atom-cavity system reveals nonlinear quantum features at extremely low field strengths.

Superconducting qbits

Climbing the Jaynes–Cummings ladder and observing its \sqrt{n} nonlinearity in a cavity QED system

J. M. Fink¹, M. Göppl¹, M. Baur¹, R. Bianchetti¹, P. J. Leek¹, A. Blais² & A. Wallraff¹

JC)

















Single exciton in a μ -pillar

 $\mu - pillar$



Our Goal: to demonstrate JC nonlinearity in a semiconductor

Single exciton in a μ -pillar

 $\mu - pillar$



Our Goal: to demonstrate JC nonlinearity in a semiconductor

Why FWM for climbing the JC ladder?



Because it grants a direct access to the 2nd rung via 2-photon coherence

Why FWM for climbing the JC ladder?



Because it grants a direct access to the 2nd rung via 2-photon coherence

Experimental scheme



$\mu-pillar$

Open Cavity

Coherent Dynamics ! √2 faster beating of the second rung detected ! JC nonlinearity demonstrated



$\mu-{\sf pillar}$

$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Coherent Dynamics} \\ ! \ \sqrt{2} \ \text{faster beating of the second rung detected !} \\ \textbf{JC nonlinearity demonstrated} \end{array}$





$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Coherent Dynamics} \\ ! \ \sqrt{2} \ \text{faster beating of the second rung detected !} \\ \textbf{JC nonlinearity demonstrated} \end{array}$





FWM spectrum of an exciton-cavity system





$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Time Filtering} \\ M_{1\pm} \leftrightarrow M_{2\pm} \text{ dephasing is 3 times faster than } 0 \leftrightarrow M_{1\pm} \end{array}$



Spectral recovery of $0 \leftrightarrow M_{1\pm}$ and signatures of $M_{1\pm} \leftrightarrow M_{2\pm}$



$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Time Filtering} \\ M_{1\pm} \leftrightarrow M_{2\pm} \text{ dephasing is 3 times faster than } 0 \leftrightarrow M_{1\pm} \end{array}$



Spectral recovery of 0 \leftrightarrow $M_{1\pm}$ and signatures of $M_{1\pm}$ \leftrightarrow $M_{2\pm}$



Tuning curve in FWM Superconducting Qbits \iff Semiconductor μ -pillars





Intensity Dependence Spectral signatures of higher rungs' transitions





Intensity Dependence Spectral signatures of higher rungs' transitions







Intensity Dependence





Outline

JC 🚺







State of the art: Open Cavity Cooperativity: $C = \frac{2g^2}{\kappa\gamma} = 150$!!!



Nature 575, 622 (2019)





State of the art: Open Cavity Cooperativity: $C = \frac{2g^2}{\kappa\gamma} = 150$!!!

Nature 575, 622 (2019)





State of the art: Open Cavity Cooperativity: $C = \frac{2g^2}{\kappa\gamma} = 150$!!!



Nature 575, 622 (2019)





Summary II



Summary II

